

Further congruences for $(4, 8)$ -regular bipartition quadruples modulo powers of 2

Manjil P. Saikia

Received 31 December 2023; Revised 07 August 2024; Accepted 12 August 2024; Published 07 September 2024

Abstract: We prove some new congruences modulo powers of 2 for $(4, 8)$ -regular bipartition quadruples, using an algorithmic approach.

AMS Classification: 11P81, 11P83.

Key words and phrases: integer partitions, Ramanujan-type congruences, Radu's algorithm.

A partition λ of n is a non-negative sequence of integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$ such that the λ_i 's sum up to n . A partition ℓ -tuple of n is an ℓ tuple of partitions $(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_\ell)$ such that the sum of all the parts of Λ_i is n . Recently, Nayaka [1] introduced (s, t) -regular bipartition quadruples of a positive integer n , denoted by $BQ_{s,t}$ to be the numbers given by the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{s,t}(n)q^n = \frac{(q^s; q^2)_\infty^4 (q'; q')_\infty^4}{(q^s; q^s)_\infty^8},$$

where

$$(a; q)_\infty := \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - aq^n), \quad |q| < 1.$$

Nayaka proved several congruence properties satisfied by $BQ_{s,t}(n)$ for different values of (s, t) . He proved the results using elementary q -series techniques. The aim of this short note is to extend Nayaka's list of congruences for $(s, t) = (4, 8)$ using an algorithmic approach. We use Smoot's [5] implementation of an algorithm of Radu [3] (which we will describe in the next section) to prove this extended list of congruences. This approach has been used very recently by the author [4] to extend some other congruences proved by Nayaka and Naika [2].

In this note, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1. For all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$BQ_{4,8}(4n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \tag{1}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{64}, \tag{2}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(8n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \tag{3}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(8n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \tag{4}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(8n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{256}, \tag{5}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(16n+9) \equiv 0 \pmod{64}, \tag{6}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(16n+13) \equiv 0 \pmod{512}, \tag{7}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(16n+15) \equiv 0 \pmod{512}, \tag{8}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(32n+17) \equiv 0 \pmod{32}, \tag{9}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(32n+21) \equiv 0 \pmod{256}, \tag{10}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(32n+25) \equiv 0 \pmod{1024}, \tag{11}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(32n+29) \equiv 0 \pmod{1024}, \tag{12}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(64n+9) \equiv 0 \pmod{64}, \tag{13}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(64n+33) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}, \tag{14}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(64n+41) \equiv 0 \pmod{512}, \tag{15}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(64n+49) \equiv 0 \pmod{64}, \tag{16}$$

$$BQ_{4,8}(64n+57) \equiv 0 \pmod{4096}. \tag{17}$$

Remark 2. Nayaka [1] had proved the following

$$BQ_{4,8}(8n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{128}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. To prove Theorem 1, we shall use Radu's Ramanujan-Kolberg algorithm [3] as implemented by Smoot [5] for Mathematica, using his package RaduRK. Smoot [5] has detailed instructions on its installation and usage. First we invoke the package in Mathematica as follows:

$$\text{In}[1] := \ll\text{RaduRK}'$$

Before running the program, we need to set two global variables q and t :

$$\text{In}[2] := \{\text{SetVar1}[q], \text{SetVar2}[t]\}$$

The proof of all the congruences are similar, so we shall only prove (5) in details, which can be proved by the procedure call

$$\text{In}[1] := \text{RK}[4, 8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}, 8, 7].$$

After a few seconds, we get the proof in the form of the following output.

	N:	4
	$\{M, (r_\delta)_{\delta M}\}$:	$\{8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}\}$
	m:	8
	$P_{m,r}(j)$:	$\{7\}$
	$f_1(q)$:	$\frac{(q; q)_\infty^{66} (q^2; q^2)_\infty^{10}}{q^8 (q^4; q^4)_\infty^{76}}$
Out [1] :=	t:	$\frac{(q; q)_\infty^8}{q (q^4; q^4)_\infty^8}$
	AB:	$\{1\}$
	$\{p_g(t) : g \in AB\}$	$\{21760t^8 + 23318528t^7 + 5439488000t^6 + 517291900928t^5 + 25120189972480t^4 + 681697209221120t^3 + 10484942882471936t^2 + 85568392920039424t + 288230376151711744\}$
	Common Factor:	256

The interpretation of this output is as follows.

The first entry in the procedure call $RK[4, 8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}, 8, 7]$ corresponds to specifying $N = 4$, which fixes the space of modular functions

$$M(\Gamma_0(N)) := \text{the algebra of modular functions for } \Gamma_0(N).$$

The second and third entry of the procedure call $RK[4, 8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}, 8, 7]$ gives the assignment $\{M, (r_\delta)_{\delta|M}\} = \{8, (-8, 0, 4, 4)\}$, which corresponds to specifying $(r_\delta)_{\delta|M} = (r_1, r_2, r_4, r_8) = (-8, 0, 4, 4)$, so that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(n)q^n = \prod_{\delta|M} (q^\delta; q^\delta)_{r_\delta} = \frac{(q^4; q^4)_4 (q^8; q^8)_4}{(q; q)^8}.$$

The last two entries of the procedure call $RK[4, 8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}, 8, 7]$ corresponds to the assignment $m = 8$ and $j = 7$, which means that we want the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(mn + j)q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(8n + 7)q^n.$$

So, $P_{m,r}(j) = P_{8,r}(7)$ with $r = (-8, 0, 4, 4)$.

The output $P_{m,r}(j) := P_{8,(-8,0,4,4)}(7) = \{7\}$ means that there exists an infinite product

$$f_1(q) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty^{66} (q^2; q^2)_\infty^{10}}{q^8 (q^4; q^4)_\infty^{76}},$$

such that

$$f_1(q) \sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(8n + 7)q^n \in M(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

Finally, the output

$$t = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}^8}{q(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^8}, \quad AB = \{1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \{p_g(t): g \in AB\},$$

presents a solution to the question of finding a modular function $t \in M(\Gamma_0(4))$ and polynomials $p_g(t)$ such that

$$f_1(q) \sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(8n+7)q^n = \sum_{g \in AB} p_g(t) \cdot g$$

In this specific case, we see that the singleton entry in the set $\{p_g(t): g \in AB\}$ has the common factor 256, thus proving equation (5).

The other congruences in Theorem 1 can be proved in a similar way. For instance, to prove (17) we run the procedure call `RK[4, 8, {-8, 0, 4, 4}, 64, 57]`. The output file generated by Mathematica which proves all the congruences in Theorem 1 can be downloaded from <https://manjilsaikia.in/publ/mathematica/BQ-4-8.nb>. □

For more details on the steps described above, one can consult Radu [3] and Smoot [5].

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the anonymous referee for helpful comments.

References

- [1] S.S. Nayaka. Congruences for (s,t)-regular bipartition quadruples. *J. Anal.*, 30:651–666, 2022. [1](#), [2](#)
- [2] S.S. Nayaka and M.S.M Naika. Congruences modulo powers of 2 for t-colored overpartitions. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.*, 28:66, 2022. [1](#)
- [3] Cristian-Silviu Radu. An algorithmic approach to Ramanujan-Kolberg identities. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 68(part 1):225–253, 2015. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#)
- [4] Manjil P. Saikia. Some missed congruences modulo powers of 2 for t-colored overpartitions,. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.*, 29:15, 2023. [1](#)
- [5] Nicolas Allen Smoot. On the computation of identities relating partition numbers in arithmetic progressions with eta quotients: an implementation of Radu’s algorithm. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 104:276–311, 2021. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#)

AUTHOR

Manjil P. Saikia
 Mathematical and Physical Sciences division, School of Arts and Sciences
 Ahmedabad University, Ahmedabad 380009, INDIA
 manjil [at] saikia [dot] in
<https://manjilsaikia.in>

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

MANJIL P. SAIKIA is an Assistant Professor at the School of Arts and Sciences, Ahmedabad University. He has degrees in mathematics from Tezpur University (India) and the University of Vienna (Austria). He has a postgraduate diploma in mathematics from ICTP Trieste (Italy). He worked at Cardiff University (UK) and the Indian Institute of Information Technology (IIIT) Manipur in the past. His research interests are in elementary number theory, integer partitions, q -series and enumerative & algebraic combinatorics.